## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Humberto Castillo-DeLeon	Case No. 1:12-cr-00140-RJJ
	Defendant	Case No. 1.12-01-00140-RJJ
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform A efendant be detained pending trial.	act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Finding	s of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 L a federal offense a state or local offense that we existed – that is	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of ould have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a) which the prison term is 10 years or more.	)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death	or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten ye	ears or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been con U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local control of the comparable state or local control of the contro	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 cal offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves	::
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or des a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	structive device or any other dangerous weapon 250
(2)		ne defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the do offense described in finding (1).	ate of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption person or the community. I further find that defendant has	that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative Find	dings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has o	committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or mor Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	re is prescribed in:*
(2)		ed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions a safety of the community.
<b>√</b> (1)	Alternative Find There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	dings (B)
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	safety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Statement of the Re	easons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the dete a preponderance of the evidence that:	ention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing
2. Defen	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest deant is subject to an immigration detainer and would not be adant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the content detention detention to the content detention detention to the content detention detenti	e released in any case.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	May 23, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
·		Name and Title	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	